SINGLE BENCH (4)

(2)

CF-1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 4204 /2006

PETITIONER

Bhanwar Singh Dhruv, Aged about ~~42~~-years, S/o- Late Shri Ishwar Singh, Post- Shivrinarayan, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Health and Family Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector, Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

3. The Medical and Health Officer, Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

4. The Block Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

5. The Medical Officer, Government Civil Dispensary, Shivrinarayan, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4273/06
Presented by Shri A.K. Dubey
dated 4/8/06

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 30/8/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

CERTIFIED COPY 4/8/06 BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(34)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4204 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11-8-2006

Mr. Anand Kumar Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondents to reimburse/disburse/pay the salary of the petitioner since 18-3-2004.

Grievance of the petitioner raised in this writ petition is that he is working as Driver in the Department of Medical & Health Services at Shivrinarayan since 18-3-2004, but he has not been paid single penny till date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that therefore, respondent No.3 be directed to pay the salary to the petitioner. He also submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 and respondent No.3 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 raising all his grievances, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition and its annexures within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the same within a period of

P.T.O.

(35)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	one month from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3264/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.